

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE ,	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,909	01/17/2002	Masayoshi Nishitani	24886	3391	
20529 7590 01/08/2007 NATH & ASSOCIATES			EXAM	EXAMINER	
112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314			CERVETTI, DA	CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>	·	•	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		01/08/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

L			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/046,909	NISHITANI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		David G. Cervetti	2136
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5) □ 6) ⋈ 7) □ 8) □ Applicat i 9) □ 10) ⋈	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in Proceived in Proc	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments filed October 20 and July 26, 2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. Claims 1-9 are pending and have been examined.

Response to Amendment

3. Powell teaches comparing values to a relative minima and a relative maxima (columns 3 and 4) which are analogous to Applicant's first and second counters.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braudaway et al. (US Patent Number: 5,825,892, hereinafter "Braudaway"), and further in view of Powell et al. (US Patent Number: 6,137,892, hereinafter "Powell").

Regarding claim 1, Braudaway teaches a digital watermarking apparatus comprising:

- specifying means for specifying a line of pixel data included in received image signals (column 8, lines 1-6);
- encryption data generating means for encrypting the digital watermark and for outputting encryption data (column 7, lines 1-10); and

Art Unit: 2136

 using non-overlapping selector positions and statistical relationships between elements and their neighbors or non-neighbors (column 21, lines 15-38).

Braudaway does not disclose expressly comparing an average of intensity values or color difference values of all pixels in the specified line in the received image signals with an intensity value or a color difference value of each pixel in a line adjacent to the specified line and in which the digital watermark is to be embedded.

However, Powell teaches

second counter value

- mixing means for comparing an average of intensity values or color difference values of all pixels in the specified line in the received image signals with an intensity value or a color difference value of each pixel in a line adjacent to the specified line and in which the digital watermark is to be embedded (column 4, lines 10-34),
- to find, for all pixels in the adjacent line, a first counter value and a second counter value, said first counter value indicating a number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value larger than the average, said second counter value indicating a number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value smaller than the average, for transforming the intensity value or the color difference value of each pixel in the adjacent line (column 7, lines 5-40) with reference to the average to change the first counter value and the

- such that a large and small relation between the first counter value and the second counter value obtained by the comparison with the average becomes a relation according to a bit value 1 or 0 of the encryption data from said encryption data generating means, and for outputting the received image signals as watermarked image signals (column 4, lines 1-67, column 5, lines 1-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use difference of averages to create the digital watermark in the system of Braudaway. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to permit modification of the image without losing the digital signature (Powell, column 1, lines 37-49).

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Braudaway and Powell teaches the limitations as set forth under claim 1 above. Furthermore, Powell teaches wherein said mixing means comprises:

- average calculating means for calculating the average of the intensity
 values or the color difference values of the pixels in the specified line of
 the received image signals (column 4, lines 1-35);
- counter value calculating means for comparing the average with the intensity value or the color difference value of each pixel in the adjacent line to calculate, for all pixels in the adjacent line, the first counter value and the second counter value, said first counter value indicating the number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color

Art Unit: 2136

difference value larger than the average, said second counter value indicating the number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value smaller than the average (column 5, lines 1-39); counter value comparing means for comparing the first counter value and the second counter value; and

transforming means for transforming the intensity values or the color difference values of all pixels in the adjacent line with reference to the average to change the first counter value and the second counter value such that, when the value of the encryption data from said encryption generating means is the bit value 1 (column 6, lines 1-55), said counter value comparing means gives a comparison result indicating that the first counter value is larger than the second counter value (column 5, lines 1-15) and such that, when the value of the encryption data from said encryption generating means is the bit value 0, said counter value comparing means gives a comparison result indicating that the first counter value is smaller than the second counter value, wherein the transformed signals are output as the watermarked image signals, the intensity value or the color difference value or each pixel in the adjacent line of the transformed signals being transformed by said transforming means according to the value of the encryption data (column 4, lines 1-67, column 5, lines 1-24, column 8, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 3, Braudaway teaches a digital watermarking method comprising:

- a first step for specifying a line of pixel data included in received image signals (column 8, lines 1-6);
- a second step for encrypting a digital watermark and for outputting encryption data (column 7, lines 1-10); and
- using non-overlapping selector positions and statistical relationships between elements and their neighbors or non-neighbors (column 21, lines 15-38).

Braudaway does not disclose expressly comparing an average of intensity values or color difference values of all pixels in the specified line in the received image signals with an intensity value or a color difference value of each pixel in a line adjacent to the specified line and in which the digital watermark is to be embedded.

However, Powell teaches

- a third step for comparing an average of intensity values or color difference values of all pixels in the specified line in the received image signals with an intensity value or a color difference value of each pixel in a line adjacent to the specified line and in which the digital watermark is to be embedded (column 4, lines 1-67),
- to find, for all pixels in the adjacent line, a first counter value and a second counter value, said first counter value indicating a number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value

larger than the average, said second counter value indicating a number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value smaller than the average (column 7, lines 5-40); and

- a fourth step for transforming the intensity value or the color difference
 value of each pixel in the adjacent line (column 7, lines 5-40)
- with reference to the average to change the first counter value and the second counter value such that a large and small relation between the first counter value and the second counter value obtained by the comparison with the average becomes a relation according to a bit value 1 or 0 of the encryption data and for outputting the received image signals as watermarked image signals (column 4, lines 1-67, column 5, lines 1-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use difference of averages to create the digital watermark in the system of Braudaway. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to permit modification of the image without losing the digital signature (Powell, column 1, lines 37-49).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Braudaway and Powell teaches the limitations as set forth under claim 3 above. Furthermore, Powell teaches wherein said third step comprises:

Art Unit: 2136

a fifth step for calculating the average of the intensity values or the color difference values of the pixels in the specified line of the received image signals (column 4, lines 1-35); and

Page 8

- a sixth step for comparing the average with the intensity value or the color difference value of each pixel in the adjacent line to calculate, for all pixels in the adjacent line, the first counter value and the second counter value, said first counter value indicating the number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value larger than the average, said second counter value indicating the number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value smaller than the average (column 5, lines 1-39), and wherein said fourth step comprises:
- a seventh step for comparing the first counter value and the second counter value; and
- an eighth step for transforming the intensity values or the color difference values of all pixels in the adjacent line (column 5, lines 1-15) with reference to the average to change the first counter value and the second counter value such that, when the value of the encryption data is the bit value 1 (column 6, lines 1-55), a comparison result indicating that the first counter value is larger than the second counter value is obtained and such that,

Art Unit: 2136

when the value of the encryption data is the bit value 0, a comparison result indicating that the first counter value is smaller than the second counter value is obtained (column 4, lines 1-67, column 5, lines 1-24, column 8, lines 1-5).

Page 9

Regarding claim 5, Braudaway teaches a digital watermark reproducing apparatus comprising:

specifying means for receiving digitally watermarked image signals as
 input signals and for specifying a line of pixel data (column 8, lines 1-6).

Braudaway does not disclose expressly comparing an average of intensity values or color difference values of all pixels in the specified line in the received image signals with an intensity value or a color difference value of each pixel in a line adjacent to the specified line and in which the digital watermark is to be embedded.

However, Powell teaches

- said digitally watermarked image signals being generated by transforming signals in a line adjacent to the specified line of the image signals to change a first counter value and a second counter value according to a bit value 1 or 0 of encryption data generated by encrypting a digital watermark (column 4, lines 1-67);
- extracting means for comparing an average of intensity values or color difference values of all pixels in the specified line in the digitally watermarked image signals with an intensity value or a color difference value of each pixel in the adjacent line (column 4, lines 10-34, column

40); and

Art Unit: 2136

6, lines 12-28) to find, for all pixels in the adjacent line, said first counter value and said second counter value, said first counter value indicating a number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value larger than the average, said second counter value indicating a number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value smaller than the average, and for extracting from the adjacent line the encryption data which is determined to be the bit value 1 or 0 according to a large and small relation between the first counter value and the second counter value obtained by the

Page 10

a decrypting means for decrypting the extracted the encryption data to an original watermark for output (column 4, lines 1-67, column 5, lines 1-30, column 6, lines 1-64).

comparison with the average (column 6, lines 1-67, column 7, lines 1-

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use difference of averages to create the digital watermark in the system of Braudaway. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to permit modification of the image without losing the digital signature (Powell, column 1, lines 37-49).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Braudaway and Powell teaches the limitations as set forth under claim 5 above. Furthermore, Braudaway teaches wherein said extracting means comprises:

Art Unit: 2136

average calculating means for calculating the average of the intensity
 values or the color difference values of the pixels in the specified line of
 the digitally watermarked image signals (column 17, lines 5-44);

Page 11

- counter value calculating means for comparing the average with the intensity value or the color difference value of each pixel in the adjacent line to calculate, for all pixels in the adjacent line, the first counter value and the second counter value, said first counter value indicating the number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value larger than the average, said second counter value indicating the number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value smaller than the average (column 16, lines 31-67);
- counter value comparing means for comparing the first counter value and the second counter value; and encryption data extracting means for extracting the encryption data determined to be the bit value 1 when said counter value comparing means gives a comparison result indicating that the first counter value is larger than the second counter value or for extracting the encryption data determined to be the bit value 0 (column 16, lines 1-67) when said counter value comparing means gives a comparison result indicating that the first counter value is smaller than the second counter value (column 21, lines 5-37).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 7, Braudaway teaches a digital watermark reproducing method comprising:

Page 12

a first step for receiving digitally watermarked image signals as input signals and for specifying a line of pixel data, said digitally watermarked image signals being generated by transforming signals in a line adjacent to the specified line of the image signals to change a first counter value and a second counter value according to a bit value 1 or 0 of encryption data generated by encrypting a digital watermark (column 16, lines 1-30).

Braudaway does not disclose expressly comparing an average of intensity values or color difference values of all pixels in the specified line, extracting from the adjacent line the encryption data, or decrypting the extracted encryption data to an original watermark for output.

However, Powell teaches

- a second step for comparing an average of intensity values or color difference values of all pixels in the specified line in the digitally watermarked image signals with an intensity value or a color difference value of each pixel in the adjacent line (column 6, lines 12-28)
- to find, for all pixels in the adjacent line, a first counter value and a second counter value, said first counter value indicating a number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value larger than the average, said second counter value indicating a number

Art Unit: 2136

of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value smaller than the average (column 6, lines 29-54);

Page 13

- a third step for extracting from the adjacent line the encryption data which is determined to be the bit value 1 or 0 according to a large and small relation between the first counter value and the second counter value obtained by the comparison with the average; and
- a fourth step for decrypting the extracted encryption data to an original watermark for output (column 6, lines 55-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use difference of averages to create the digital watermark in the system of Braudaway. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to permit modification of the image without losing the digital signature (Powell, column 1, lines 37-49).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Braudaway and Powell teaches the limitations as set forth under claim 7 above. Furthermore, Braudaway teaches wherein said second step comprises:

- a fifth step for calculating the average of the intensity values or the color difference values of the pixels in the specified line of the digitally watermarked image signals (column 17, lines 5-44); and
- a sixth step for comparing the average with the intensity value or the color difference value of each pixel in the adjacent line to calculate, for all pixels in the adjacent line, the first counter value and the second

Art Unit: 2136

Page 14

counter value, said first counter value indicating the number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value larger than the average, said second counter value indicating the number of pixels each of which has an intensity value or a color difference value smaller than the average (column 16, lines 31-67), and wherein said third step comprises: a seventh step for comparing the first counter value and the second counter value; and an eighth step for extracting the encryption data determined to be the

- an eighth step for extracting the encryption data determined to be the first value bit value 1 when said seventh step gives a comparison result indicating that the first counter value is larger than the second counter value or for extracting the encryption data determined to be the second bit value 0 (column 16, lines 1-67)
- when said seventh step gives a comparison result indicating that the first counter value is smaller than the second counter value (column 21, lines 1-37).
- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braudaway and Powell as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Abe (US Patent Number: 6,580,804).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Braudaway and Powell does not expressly disclose wherein said specifying means specifies an edge line of pixel data included in the received image signal. However, Abe teaches wherein said specifying means specifies an edge line of pixel data included in the received image signal

Art Unit: 2136

(column 3, lines 20-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place a digital watermark along the edge of a digital image. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so make the digital watermark more resistant to image processing and or image deletion without an inordinate amount of calculation (Abe, column 1, lines 10-54).

Page 15

Application/Control Number: 10/046,909 Page 16

Art Unit: 2136

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David G. Cervetti whose telephone number is (571) 272-5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 am 5:00 pm, off on Wednesday.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on (571) 272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2136

Page 17

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DGC

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

13,07